

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/804,836	03/19/2004	Toshihiro Fukuda	10122/005003	7548
7590 01/04/2006			EXAMINER	
Jonathan P. Osha			WINNER, TONY H	
OSHA & MAY L.L.P. Suite 2800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1221 McKinney St.			3611	
Houston, TX 77010			DATE MAILED: 01/04/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/804,836	FUKUDA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Tony H. Winner	3611			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
Period fo						
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Densions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutively received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to divil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/1	<u>13/05</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are withdrawn for Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,7 and 8</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>3-6</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	rom consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ a)i	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applica ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ntion No. <u>10/088790</u> . ved in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s) ee of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	ry (PTO-413)			
2) Notice	r No(s)/Mail Date 5/03/04 & 2/10/05	Paper No(s)/Mail				

Application/Control Number: 10/804,836 Page 2

Art Unit: 3611

Election

1. Applicant elects species II without traverse is acknowledged and entered.

Claims 1 and 3-8 were said to be readable on the elected species. Claim 2 is withdrawn from consideration. An action on the merits follows.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1, 7, and 8 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over claims 1 and 2 of U. S. Patent No. 6,851,508 B2 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because all of the elements of the instant claims have already been claimed in the '508 patent.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 7, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Joshita (USPN. 5,971,094).

Joshita discloses a steering apparatus having the structural substantially as claimed. The device (figure 1):

a. a ball screw mechanism having a ball screw nut (10) and a ball screw shaft connected to a steering mechanism;

b. a steering shaft (3) to which a steering force is inputted;
 a torque sensor (7) for detecting a steering torque generated on said steering shaft;

c. a motor for generating a steering assist power on the basis of a signal from said torque sensor, said steering assist power being transmitted through said ball screw mechanism to said steering mechanism; and

d. an elastic member (50) disposed at a portion supporting said ball screw nut, said elastic member being deformable so as to absorb an impact.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 3-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Anthony H. Winner whose telephone number is (571) 272-6654. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 am to 6:30 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris, can be reached at (571) 272-6651. Currently, the

Application/Control Number: 10/804,836

Page 5

Art Unit: 3611

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is (571) 273-8300.

7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information-Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-6584.

TONY WINNER
PATENT EXAMINER

December 19, 2005